

Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: _ http://talerico.neocities.org/home.html ___
2. Author of site evaluated: ___ Tracie Talerico _____
3. Evaluator's first name: ___ Matt _____

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM): MAC Laptop Computer running Mac OS X
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0): Safari 9.3.1
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional): Corporate DSL

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification.** Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Strongly Agree – The website is subdivided into logical supporting pages and is organized nicely throughout.					
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Agree – I personally like the color choices, but I do think that they may be a little over-whelming for some users who visit the site. I found the entire site to be uncluttered and well-organized.					
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Strongly Agree – The navigation menu on the left side of the website was a really good choice, and made it easy to select where a user wants to go from any page, because the menu is on every page. However, it is hard to see when a link starts and when it stops because they are tightly spaced on one another.					
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Strongly Agree - The homepage is linked throughout the entire website.					
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Strongly Agree – There are many videos on all the additional pages throughout the site, and they all have a clear purpose for their use.					
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Strongly Agree – All the videos loaded very quickly. (I did not see any option to download, but I don't think that was a necessity.)					
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Neutral – There was only one image on the homepage, and it did not offer any of the attributes, but it also loaded without issue.					
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback:</u> Strongly Agree - The Text is very easy to read because it contrasts					

well with the background choices throughout the website.

9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** - The website appears to be widely accessible, and it definitely is appropriate for the audience and task.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** - All of the titles for each page are clear and appropriate.

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** – All of the links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.

3. Links are up-to-date and functional. 1 2 3 4 5
Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** - All links are up-to-date and functional.

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** – There was useful content on every page of the website, and nothing was embedded further than two layers.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback: **Agree** – This site contains plenty of content that encourages users to return, however it seems to primarily focus upon providing users access to other websites teachers may find even more useful.

6. Information is accurate and current. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** – All information all appears to be accurate and current.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** – This website definitely stimulates thinking and reflection for English teachers who are hesitant about integrating technology into their classroom.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CREDIBILITY					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback: **Agree** - This site does mention where videos have been uploaded from, as well as link to the original source for content, but there are no official citations (MLA, APA, etc.) included.

2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** – There is a contact person and email address included at the bottom of each page.

3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback: **Agree** – The site explicitly states a point of view with regards to using technology in the classroom.

4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback: **Disagree** – The material is not original, and I have not seen any specific citations, except for one on the homepage.

5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** - There are no errors with punctuation, spelling, and grammar. Everything else, stylistically speaking, also has been taken care of with great detail.

6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback: **Strongly Agree** – The site indicates when it was created on each page.